

General Conference

GC(59)/1/Add.1 Date: 8 July 2015

General Distribution English Original: Arabic

Fifty-ninth regular session

Provisional agenda

Supplementary Item for Inclusion in the Provisional Agenda

1. On 24 June 2015, the Director General received a request, submitted by the Ambassador of Egypt on behalf of the Arab States that are members of the International Atomic Energy Agency, for the inclusion of an item entitled "Israeli nuclear capabilities" in the agenda of the 59th (2015) regular session of the General Conference.

2. Pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the General Conference¹, this item is hereby placed on a supplementary list which will be circulated not later than 25 August 2015. The letter from the Ambassador of Egypt and the accompanying explanatory memorandum relating to the inclusion of this item are attached hereto.

3. It is suggested, for consideration by the General Committee, that this item follow item 20 of the provisional agenda and be discussed in the Plenary.

Text of letter received on 24 June 2015 from the Ambassador of Egypt

On behalf of the Arab States that are members of the International Atomic Energy Agency: the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, the Kingdom of Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, the Republic of Tunisia, the Republic of Algeria, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Republic of the Sudan, the Syrian Arab Republic, the Republic of Iraq, the State of Qatar, the State of Kuwait, the Lebanese Republic, Libya, the Arab Republic of Egypt, the Kingdom of Morocco, the Republic of Yemen, the Sultanate of Oman, the Islamic Republic of Mauritania and Palestine (observer),

I have the honour to forward to you the request of the Arab States listed hereabove, based on the decisions of the Council of the Arab League at the level of the Summit and Arab Foreign Ministers, for the inclusion of an item on "Israeli nuclear capabilities" in the agenda of the fifty-ninth (2015) session of the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency.

I also have the honour to enclose the explanatory memorandum relating to the request for inclusion of the above-mentioned item.

We hope you will be so kind as to take all the necessary steps in this regard.

Accept, Sir, the assurances of my highest consideration.

(signed)

Ambassador Khaled SHAMAA Ambassador of the Arab Republic of Egypt Chairman, Council of Arab Ambassadors in Vienna

<u>Encl.:</u> Explanatory memorandum

HE Yukiya AMANO IAEA Director General Vienna

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM SUBMITTED BY THE MEMBER STATES IN THE LEAGUE OF ARAB STATES ON ISRAELI NUCLEAR CAPABILITIES

- 1. An item on "Israeli nuclear capabilities and threat" has been on the agenda of the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency <u>since 1987</u> and the Conference has adopted resolutions calling upon Israel to place its nuclear installations under Agency safeguards.
- 2. In 1992, the Arab Group decided to put on hold its submission of the draft Arab resolution in view of the peace process already under way in the Middle East, the aim of which was to conclude a comprehensive, just and lasting peace in the region, and which included in particular discussions on the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in the Middle East, as reflected in the President's statement endorsed during the thirty-sixth session of the General Conference. However, the policies of successive Israeli Governments have obstructed the Middle East peace process and thwarted all initiatives to free the Middle East of weapons of mass destruction, and in particular of nuclear weapons.
- 3. The Review and Extension Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons which was held in May 1995 adopted a resolution on the Middle East expressing the concern of the States Party to the Treaty at the dangerous situation in the Middle East resulting from the presence in the region of nuclear activities not subject to Agency safeguards, which put regional and international peace and security at risk.
- 4. In May 2000, the sixth Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, which followed developments in the implementation of the resolution on the Middle East issued at the previous Review Conference, issued a Final Document which requested that Israel accede to the NPT as soon as possible and welcomed the accession to it of a number of Arab States during the period 1995–2000, while Israel remained the only State in the region that had not acceded to that Treaty. The Conference reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to that Treaty and the placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive Agency safeguards in realizing the goal of universal adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East.
- 5. In May 2010, the Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons met and adopted the work plan regarding the Middle East and a Final Document including all the required procedures for holding an international conference in 2012 to make the Middle East a zone free from nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction, appointing a facilitator for the conference, and designating the State that would host the conference, as soon as possible, in consultation with the States of the region.
- 6. The 2015 NPT Review Conference failed to reach consensus on a final document; thus, it was not possible to review the implementation of obligations during the preceding five years and define a framework for the coming five years in terms of the three pillars of the Treaty and the 1995 Resolution in the Middle East. This failure, which resulted from the desire of certain States to protect Israel and see it remain outside the non-proliferation regime, is seen as a setback to international efforts aimed at establishing a Middle East zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction.
- 7. While all the Arab States have acceded to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and shown their continuing readiness to take practical steps towards the establishment of a Middle East zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction, Israel continues to defy the international community, by downplaying the significance of the Treaty and refusing to become a party to it or place all its installations under the Agency's comprehensive safeguards system, thus exposing the region to nuclear risks and posing a threat to security.

- 8. The Arab States acceded to the proposals submitted by certain States during the fifty-second session of the IAEA General Conference in September 2008 in the interests of showing flexibility and in an endeavour to find consensus, and made modifications to the draft Arab resolution, the title of which was changed from "Nuclear capabilities and their threats" to "Israeli nuclear capabilities".
- 9. In the framework of international initiatives to strengthen the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and calls for Israel's accession thereto, the Arab States affirm that their initiative to make the Middle East a nuclear-weapon-free zone represents a comprehensive regional response to the potential proliferation of these weapons, achieves mutual security for all the States of the region and goes hand in hand with demands to rid the world of weapons of mass destruction.
- 10. Adoption of the resolution entitled "Israeli nuclear capabilities" (GC(53)/RES/17) by the fifty-third session of the IAEA General Conference highlighted the international community's concern about Israeli nuclear capabilities, and it called upon Israel to accede to the NPT and place all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive Agency safeguards. Also, the resolution urged the Director General to work with the States concerned towards that end and to submit a report to the Board of Governors and the General Conference at its fifty-fourth session on implementation of the resolution.
- 11. The Arab States had participated actively in the preparations for the conference, scheduled to take place in 2012, on the establishment of a Middle East zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction, in accordance with the action plan arrived at during the 2010 NPT Review Conference. The Arab Group had displayed a great deal of flexibility in its dealings with Jaakko Laajava, the conference facilitator, in preparation for the conference. However, Israel's intransigence had prevented the attainment of this goal as Israel vigorously strove to undermine the process by rejecting any role for the United Nations and pushing for the inclusion of issues outside the Conference's terms of reference, including what it refers to as matters of regional security. Against a backdrop characterized by a lack of genuine will on the part of certain co-sponsors of the 1995 Resolution on the Middle East to make concerted efforts towards the convening of the Conference, clear commitments undertaken by States Parties to the Treaty during the 1995 and 2010 review conferences have not been met.
- 12. Given the disappointing outcome of the 2015 Review Conference, in terms of adopting a framework for the establishment of a Middle East zone free of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction, the Member States of the IAEA must take it upon themselves, during the General Conference, to declare a clear stance on Israel's remaining outside the NPT framework and ongoing resistance to submitting its nuclear facilities to the Agency's comprehensive safeguards system.
- 13. There is international agreement on the need to accelerate nuclear disarmament in the world and to place all nuclear installations under the IAEA comprehensive safeguards regime. There is also an international commitment to the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons, in view of the grave threat these weapons pose to security and stability in the world, particularly in regions of tension, and the devastating effect of their use on humanity.
- 14. There is no doubt that the IAEA has a fundamental role in the process of achieving nuclear disarmament and non-proliferation, in conformity with the Agency's Statute, particularly Article II on ensuring that assistance provided by the Agency is not used in such a way as to further any military purpose and Article III.B.1. on the establishment of safeguarded disarmament.
- 15. All Member States of the Agency are called on to cooperate in order to remedy this situation resulting from the fact that Israel alone possesses nuclear capabilities which are undeclared and not subject to international control, thus constituting a permanent threat to peace and security in the region.

16. The IAEA General Conference must take appropriate measures to ensure that Israel places all its nuclear installations under Agency safeguards and accedes to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons.

A list of some international resolutions issued on this subject is attached hereto.

A number of resolutions issued by the United Nations General Assembly, the Security Council and the IAEA General Conference call upon Israel to place all its nuclear installations under Agency safeguards and to accede to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. These include the following resolutions:

| YearRes. No.199449/78199550/73199651/48199752/41199853/80199954/57200055/36200156/26200257/97200358/68200459/106200560/92200661/103200762/56200863/84200963/38201064/26201166/25 | | |
|---|------|----------|
| 1995 50/73 1996 51/48 1997 52/41 1998 53/80 1999 54/57 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | Year | Res. No. |
| 1996 51/48 1997 52/41 1998 53/80 1999 54/57 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1994 | 49/78 |
| 1997 52/41 1998 53/80 1999 54/57 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1995 | 50/73 |
| 1998 53/80 1999 54/57 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1996 | 51/48 |
| 1999 54/57 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1997 | 52/41 |
| 2000 55/36 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1998 | 53/80 |
| 2001 56/26 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 1999 | 54/57 |
| 2002 57/97 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2000 | 55/36 |
| 2003 58/68 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2001 | 56/26 |
| 2004 59/106 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2002 | 57/97 |
| 2005 60/92 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2003 | 58/68 |
| 2006 61/103 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2004 | 59/106 |
| 2007 62/56 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2005 | 60/92 |
| 2008 63/84 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2006 | 61/103 |
| 2009 63/38 2010 64/26 | 2007 | 62/56 |
| 2010 64/26 | 2008 | 63/84 |
| | 2009 | 63/38 |
| 2011 66/25 | 2010 | 64/26 |
| | 2011 | 66/25 |

1. <u>Resolutions issued by the United Nations General Assembly:</u>

| 2012 | 67/28 |
|------|-------|
| 2013 | 68/27 |
| 2014 | 69/29 |

2. <u>Resolutions issued by the Security Council:</u>

| Year | No. |
|------|-----------|
| 1981 | S/RES/487 |

3. <u>Resolutions issued by the International Atomic Energy Agency:</u>

| Year | No. |
|------|--------------------|
| 1987 | GC(XXXI)/RES/470 |
| 1988 | GC(XXXII)/RES/487 |
| 1989 | GC(XXXIII)/RES/506 |
| 1990 | GC(XXXIV)/RES/526 |
| 1991 | GC(XXXV)/RES/570 |
| 2009 | GC(53)/RES/17 |